

SUMMARY FINDINGS OF THE UK SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE ON NUTRITION (SACN) IN ITS DRAFT REPORT ON FATS AND CARBOHYDRATE

Document source: https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/saturated-fatsand-health-draft-sacn-report

July 2018

Table 1. Summary table of the evidence on the relationship between saturated fats and cardiovascular outcomes

	Saturated fats intake			fats substitution with PUFA	Saturated fats substitution with MUFA			fats substitution arbohydrate	Saturated fats substitution with protein	
Outcome	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence
RCTs										
CVD mortality	-	Adequate	-	Adequate	n/a	Insufficient	-	Limited	-	Limited
CVD events	\	Adequate	↓	Adequate	n/a	Insufficient	-	Limited	-	Limited
CHD mortality	-	Adequate	-	Adequate	n/a	Insufficient	-	Limited	-	Limited
CHD events	↓	Moderate	↓	Limited	n/a	Insufficient	-	Moderate	-	Limited
Strokes	-	Adequate	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	No evidence	-	Limited	-	Limited
Peripheral vascular disease	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
PCS										
CVD mortality	-	Adequate	\	Limited	n/a	No evidence	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	No evidence
CVD events	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	No evidence
CHD mortality	↓	Moderate	↓	Adequate	-	Limited	-	Adequate	n/a	No evidence
CHD events	↓	Moderate	↓	Adequate	↑	Limited	↑	Adequate	n/a	No evidence
Strokes	-	Adequate	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Peripheral vascular disease	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence

n/a – not enough evidence to draw conclusions

Direction of effect/association for reported outcomes: ↑increased; ↓decreased; - no effect/association
*Range of mean intakes of saturated fats (% of total dietary energy) for reported outcomes: CVD mortality (control 12.4-18.5%; intervention 6.6-11.0%); CVD events (control 12.4-18.5%; intervention 6.6-11.5%); CHD mortality (control 12.4-18.5%; intervention 8.3-11.0%); CHD events (intervention 12.4-18.5%; control 8.3-11.5%); strokes (intervention 12.4-18.5%; control 8.3-11.5%).

Table 2. Summary table of the evidence on the effect/association between saturated fats and blood lipids

	Saturated fats intake			fats substitution with PUFA		d fats substitution with MUFA		fats substitution carbohydrate	Saturated fats substitution with protein	
Outcome	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/ association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/ association	Strength of evidence
RCTs										
Serum total cholesterol	4	Adequate	1	Adequate	1	Adequate	1	Adequate	n/a	No evidence
Serum LDL cholesterol	↓	Adequate	↓	Adequate	↓	Adequate	1	Adequate	n/a	No evidence
Serum HDL cholesterol	↓	Adequate	↓	Moderate	↓	Moderate	\	Moderate	n/a	No evidence
Serum total/HDL cholesterol ratio	n/a	No evidence	↓	Moderate	↓	Moderate	-	Adequate	n/a	No evidence
Serum lipid triacylglycerol	↓	Adequate	-	Moderate	-	Moderate	n/a	Inconsistent	n/a	No evidence
PCS										
Serum total cholesterol	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	No evidence
Serum LDL cholesterol	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	No evidence
Serum HDL cholesterol	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Serum total/HDL cholesterol ratio	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Serum lipid triacylglycerol	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence

n/a – not enough evidence to draw conclusions Direction of effect/association for reported outcomes: \uparrow increased; \downarrow decreased; - no effect/association

Table 3. Summary table of the evidence on the effect/association between saturated fats and blood pressure

	Saturated fats intake		Saturated fats substitution with PUFA		Saturated fats substitution with MUFA		Saturated fats substitution with carbohydrate		Saturated fats substitution with protein	
Outcome	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence
RCTs										
Blood pressure	-	Limited	-	Limited	-	Limited	-	Limited	n/a	No evidence
PCS										
Blood pressure	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence

n/a – not enough evidence to draw conclusions

Direction of effect/association for reported outcomes: ↑increased; ↓decreased; - no effect/association

Table 4. Summary table of the evidence on the effect/relationship between saturated fats and type 2 diabetes and markers of glycaemic control

	Saturated fats intake			fats substitution with PUFA		d fats substitution with MUFA		fats substitution carbohydrate	Saturated fats substitution with protein	
Outcome	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence
RCTs										
Type 2 diabetes	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	No evidence
Fasting glucose	n/a	No evidence	↓	Adequate	-	Adequate	-	Adequate	n/a	No evidence
Fasting insulin	n/a	No evidence	-	Adequate	1	Adequate	1	Adequate	n/a	No evidence
HbA1c	n/a	No evidence	4	Adequate	\	Adequate	-	Adequate	n/a	No evidence
Glucose tolerance	n/a	Insufficient	-	Adequate	-	Adequate	-	Adequate	n/a	No evidence
Insulin resistance HOMA	n/a	No evidence	1	Adequate	V	Adequate	-	Adequate	n/a	No evidence
Insulin resistance by infusion	n/a	No evidence	-	Adequate	/-	Adequate	-	Adequate	n/a	No evidence
PCS										
Type 2 Diabetes	-	Adequate	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Fasting glucose	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Fasting insulin	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
HbA1c	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Glucose tolerance	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Insulin resistance HOMA	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Insulin resistance by infusion	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence

n/a – not enough evidence to draw conclusions

Direction of effect/association for reported outcomes: ↑increased; ↓decreased; - no effect/association

Table 5. Summary table of the evidence on the effect/association between saturated fats and anthropometric measurements/gestational weight gain

	Saturated fats intake		Saturated fats substitution with PUFA		Spaturated fats substitution with MUFA		Saturated fats substitution with carbohydrate		Saturated fats substitution with protein	
Outcome	Direction of effect/	association Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence
RCTs										
Anthropometric measurements	+	Limited	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Gestational weight gain	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
PCS							'			
Anthropometric measurements	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Gestational weight gain	n/a	Insufficient	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence

n/a – not enough evidence to draw conclusions

Direction of effect/association for reported outcomes: ↑increased; ↓decreased; - no effect/association

Table 6. Summary table of the evidence on the effect/association between saturated fats and cancers

	Saturated fats intake		Saturated fats substitution with PUFA		Saturated fats substitution with MUFA		Saturated fats substitution with carbohydrate		Saturated fats substitution with protein	
Outcome	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/ association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/ association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/association	Strength of evidence
RCTs										
Colorectal cancer	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Pancreatic cancer	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Lung cancer	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Breast cancer	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Prostate cancer	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
PCS										
Colorectal cancer	-	Adequate	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Pancreatic cancer	-	Adequate	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Lung cancer	-	Adequate	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Breast cancer	-	Adequate	-	Adequate	-	Adequate	-	Adequate	n/a	No evidence
Prostate cancer	-	Adequate	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence

n/a – not enough evidence to draw conclusions

Direction of effect/association for reported outcomes: ↑increased; ↓decreased; - no effect/association

Table 7. Summary table of the evidence on the effect/association between saturated fats and cognitive outcomes

	Saturated fats intake		Saturated fats substitution with PUFA		Saturated fats substitution with MUFA		Saturated fats substitution with carbohydrate		Saturated fats substitution with protein	
Outcome	Direction of effect/ association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/ association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/ association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/ association	Strength of evidence	Direction of effect/ association	Strength of evidence
RCTs										
Cognitive decline	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Mild cognitive impairment	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Alzheimer's disease	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Dementias	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
PCS										
Cognitive decline	n/a	Inconsistent	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Mild cognitive impairment	-	Limited	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Alzheimer's disease	n/a	Inconsistent	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence
Dementias	n/a	Insuffcient	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence	n/a	No evidence

n/a – not enough evidence to draw conclusions

Direction of effect/association for reported outcomes: ↑increased; ↓decreased; - no effect/association