

The GM 'Dirty Dozen'

12 reasons to not research, cultivate or consume genetically modified crops

- GM has yet to demonstrate its potential to alleviate poverty [1], while non-GM farming practices have [2]
- 2. GM and intensive, conventional agriculture crops do not consistently provide either improved yields, or reduced agricultural (including energy) inputs [1, 3, 4]
- 3. Nearly all major developments in improving yields, drought resistance, insect or pathogen resistance, even in recent years, have been the result of non-GM plant breeding techniques [1, 5]
- 4. There are no benefits that have yet to be attributed to commercial GM crops that have not already been attributed to non-GM crops (e.g. insect or herbicide resistance, drought or heat tolerance, nutritional enhancement) [5]
- 5. GM crops may pose serious, unexpected and unpredictable long-term risks to human health and the environment [6, 7]
- 6. GM threatens the biodiversity and the viability of wild plant and animal species [7]
- 7. GM crops may generate 'superweeds' and 'superpests' [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]
- 8. GM places the control of the world's staple crops in the hands of a few corporations [1]
- 9. GM threatens the viability of organic farming systems [13, 14, 15]
- 10. 'Co-existence' of GM and non-GM agriculture is untenable [14, 15, 16]
- 11. Safety assessments used for approving GM cultivation are inadequate [17, 18]
- 12. The public has demonstrated a consistent rejection of GM crop technology and consumes it usually only when it is unaware of its presence [19, 20, 21].

References (for evidential support, including references contained therein)

- 1. IAASTD, Agriculture at a crossroads, 2008, Island Press, USA.
- 2. INRA-CIRAD. Agrimonde: Scenarios and Challenges for Feeding the World in 2050. 2009. INRA-CIRAD, France.
- 3. Rodale Institute. The Farming Systems Trial. Rodale Institute, Kutztown, PA, USA.
- 4. Pimentel D. 2006. *Impacts of organic farming on the efficiency of energy use in agriculture*. An Organic Center State of Science Review, Washington DC, USA.
- 5. Conway G. One Billion Hungry Can We Feed the World? 2012, Comstock, USA.
- 6. Smith JM. Genetic Roulette: The documented health risks of genetically engineered foods. 2007. Yes! Books, USA.
- 7. Garcia MA, Altieri MA. Transgenic Crops: Implications for Biodiversity and Sustainable Agriculture. *Bull Sci Technol Soc*, 2005; 25: 335-353. [Abstract]
- 8. Funke T et al. Molecular basis for the herbicide resistance of Roundup Ready crops. *PNAS*, 2006; 103: 13010-13015. [Full paper]
- 9. Nandula VK et al. Glyphosate-resistant weeds: current status and future outlook. *Out. Pest Manage* 2005; 16:183–187. [Full paper]
- 10. Ives AR, Andow D. Evolution of resistance to Bt crops: directional selection in structured environments. *Ecol. Lett.* 2002; 5: 792–801. [Abstract]
- 11. Ives AR et al. The evolution of resistance to two-toxin pyramid transgenic crops. *Ecol Appl* 2011; 21: 503–515. [Abstract]
- 12. Gilbert N. Case studies: A hard look at GM crops. *Nature*, 2013; 7447: 24-26. [News feature]
- 13. Organic Agriculture Protection Fund, Saskatchewan, Canada.
- **14.** Levidow L, Boschert K. Coexistence or contradiction? GM crops versus alternative agricultures in Europe. *Geoforum*. 2008; 39: 174-190. [Abstract and full paper]
- 15. Devos Y et al. Coexistence in the EU—return of the moratorium on GM crops? *Nature Biotechnology*. 2008; 26: 1223-1225. [Abstract]
- 16. Lee M, Burrell R. Liability for the Escape of GM Seeds: Pursuing the 'Victim'? *The Modern Law Review*. 2002; 65: 517–537. [Abstract]
- 17. Chopra S. Corrupt to the Core: Memoirs of a Health Canada whistleblower. 2009. KOS Publishing, Canada.
- 18. Antoniou M et al. GMO Myths and Truths Report. An evidence-based examination of the claims made for the safety and efficacy of genetically modified crops. June 2012. London.
- 19. Frewer L et al. Societal aspects of genetically modified foods. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2004; 42: 1181-1193. [Abstract]
- **20.** Gaskell G et al. GM foods and the misperception of risk perception. *Risk Analysis*. 2004; 24: 185-194. [Abstract]
- 21. Durant RF, Legge JS. Public opinion, risk perceptions, and genetically modified food regulatory policy reassessing the calculus of dissent among European citizens. *European Union Politics*. 2005; 6: 181-200.

 [Abstract]